

Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 25 May 2009 20:03

Dear Guard: I have indeed been sending my progress e-mails to wallohonor@gmail.com.
Somehow, they are not getting through. So, instead, I will keep you posted from here.

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by bardichev - 15 Dec 2010 21:55

kutan where evr u landed from

you can bring kedusha back too

;D

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by an honest mouse - 15 Dec 2010 22:19

welcome back kutan!!!

8) 8) 8) 8)

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 23 Mar 2011 22:29

Although, Boruch Hashem, I'm over 22 months clean, I have decided to [strengthen my defenses while things are going well.](#)

To that end, there are two new developments:

1.) I switched to Jnet with the "Mehadrin filter" as my Internet service provider. That's on top of the K9 filter, which I see no reason to remove, and third party monitoring software (eBlaster). Jnet has different levels of filtering (I'm using level 3), and the Mehadrin filter can be used on top of any of those levels. The Mehadrin filter (which actually comes in 3 levels - I'm using the intermediate level) blocks (or distorts) most inappropriate pictures that may be found on otherwise "appropriate" sites. It works very well, although it blocks some completely innocent pictures as well. Jnet is costing me quite a bit more than I was paying for Verizon, but I believe it's worth every penny. I highly recommend it to anyone for whom protection is more important than price (where price is an issue, nothing beats the free K9 filter).

2.) I receive a certain health magazine, which I find very useful. The problem is that it often contains immodest pictures, so I always have to be ready to look away and turn the page. Not a very good situation. I just called the organization that puts out the magazine, and told them that I no longer want to receive a paper copy. I realize that, since they have the magazine online, I can access the information that way, and the Jnet Mehadrin filter will remove the vast majority (if not all) of the inappropriate pictures.

I could have left it at that, but I explained to the customer service representative the reason for my decision, suggesting that their magazine is very useful, and doesn't need these Hollywood type pictures to make people want to read it!

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by geshertzarmeod - 23 Mar 2011 23:31

Hey Kedusha I just thought of a great idea!

You know how the tabbacco companies got their pants sued off for creating smoking addicts....

ya know what I mean?

I think we got something here!

quick tell guard we got a way to solve all his financial worries!

we're in the money!

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 24 Mar 2011 00:40

Gesher - I've thought of that also, and I think it's a good idea!

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Sophomore - 24 Mar 2011 01:02

Wait, I'm confused. Don't we need to know WHO we're going to sue? It's not like the cigarette companies, where there's a clear target. Is there someone to sue for lust disorders? Perhaps the guy you just mentioned with his pants down?

We need more lawyers to chime in here. I'm confused.

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 24 Mar 2011 04:12

We can sue a few major players in the pornography industry.

This discussion may be tongue in cheek, but with all the harm caused by the pornography industry, I don't think this lawsuit would have any less merit than the tobacco suits.

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Sophomore - 24 Mar 2011 14:30

Ah, yes. To believe that our mainstream culture might think of damaged spiritual creatures as having just as much a loss as a damaged lung or throat. Halevai! Then we'd have a whopper of a lawsuit.

Or, wait, how about believing that even the yiddische velt (like the gye chevra) might think the same way! If families would turn down a shidduch because the other father had a cancerous lung, wouldn't it be obvious that they'd turn down based on a (cancer-like dance with lust)?

But most of us don't. And the culture seems to glorify and not condemn our pritzus velt including p**n. So I'm not sure a jury would see what the damages are, so nothin' doin'.

Yes, I know this was all mostly tongue in cheek, but I did want to comment on the thought that such damage might be actionable in a court of law.

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 24 Mar 2011 14:35

If I recall correctly (it's been quite a while, Boruch Hashem), many porn sites force you to accept their terms and conditions, which, among other things, waives any liability for harm caused by their sites. There's a reason why they do that, but I don't think those waivers should hold up in court.

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by pinokio - 24 Mar 2011 14:40

Soph,

R ahron feldman in eye if the storm

brings that homosexuality used to be cons. a mental illness

the happy people movement managed to get it removed and replace it with a new illness of homophobia-for people like me who think people who are happy from that are nuts (if they hold its okay)-it can be cured by therapy, the article says....

good luck in your law suit

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Me3 - 24 Mar 2011 15:31

[Kedusha wrote on 24 Mar 2011 14:35:](#)

If I recall correctly (it's been quite a while, Boruch Hashem), many porn sites force you to accept their terms and conditions, which, among other things, waives any liability for harm caused by their sites. There's a reason why they do that, but I don't think those waivers should hold up in court.

Hold on, I'll check for you, in the name of GYE research of course. Totally L'Shem Shamayim.

=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by an honest mouse - 24 Mar 2011 16:30

[Me3 wrote on 24 Mar 2011 15:31:](#)

[Kedusha wrote on 24 Mar 2011 14:35:](#)

If I recall correctly (it's been quite a while, Boruch Hashem), many porn sites force you to accept their terms and conditions, which, among other things, waives any liability for harm caused by their sites. There's a reason why they do that, but I don't think those waivers should hold up in court.

Hold on, I'll check for you, in the name of GYE research of course. Totally L'Shem Shamayim.

lol! ;D

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 24 Mar 2011 17:24

:D ;D

=====
=====

Re: Kedusha's Progress

Posted by Kedusha - 29 Apr 2011 20:52

Does anyone want to get together at 7-11 in honor of . . .

Wishing everyone a good, clean Shabbos!

B'Ezras Hashem . . . Day #711 (Gematria: v'Hifreisi)?
=====

=====