

**NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!**

Posted by tehillimzugger - 29 Oct 2012 18:46

---

All this talk about names lead me to think about the halachic ramifications of say, Chuna Feitel calling himself TehillimZugger. I'm sincerely hoping this thread will schlep Dov into the Beis Medrash; Zeh hacheili, B'ezer tzuri v'goeili:

**A.** Say Chuna Feitel is getting divorced [gasp! ], would he be required to mention the name TehillimZugger in the Rabbinic Divorce document *commonly known as Get- an acronym popular legend suggests stands for "Gittel Trayna"- a famous dear devoted- klavta, who led many a husband running for said document, or not?*

[i]Please don't comment that this particular Chuna Feitel is not engaged yet, so how can he divorce- this is a sensitive issue, we don't want to hurt Chuna Feitel's sensitive feelings...[/i]

[The Talmudic precedent for this question may be found in Tractate Gittin [Babylonian, Vilna ed.] folio 34b: "A woman named Miriam, some refer to her as Sara".]

**B.** Independent of above answer, in the event he is required to cite username in document, would he be required to write "T.Z." or "TZuggs" and other nicknames associated with said username, or not?

[A halachic precedent may be found (although arguably, the cases discussed are not completely similar) in Responsums: Mahara"m Schick (E.H. §163), Maharsha"m (Vol. III §187)]

I plan on returning to this thread to discuss these [and other] points, first let's hear what the oilam thinks.

P.S. Hurricane Sandy delayed me considerably in posting this post, and I'm not sure when I'll be able to continue, first, let's get Dov in here... HEH HEH [Khalled! Arois fin biss medrash, goyatz!]

=====  
=====

**Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!**

Posted by tehillimzugger - 03 Jan 2013 16:34

---

just saying hi. oops wrong thread.

where did

der basheffer luvt mich eibig vifil luv ich ehm

go?

and when am i becoming new-forum expert?

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by ZemirosShabbos - 04 Jan 2013 00:22

---

der bashefer luvt dich oon der nifty intershraiben oichet, nain?

and btw, Hi!

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by melost - 14 Feb 2013 18:09

---

;

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by ZemirosShabbos - 14 Feb 2013 20:33

---

it's not so simple

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by mr. emunah - 14 Feb 2013 23:03

---

emunah is the simple belief in hashem

put away your brains and belive

as Brother Lazer sings

Lo Mavin Klum

Lo Mavin Klum

Rak Mamiin

Rak Mamiin

Shehakol titova!

To the tune of od avinu chai.

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by ZemirosShabbos - 14 Feb 2013 23:34

---

well said!

????? ???? ???? ?

??? ???? ???? ?? ??????

?? ?????? ???? ????? ???

???? ??????? ?????? ??????

- ??? ??

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by mr. emunah - 14 Feb 2013 23:43

---

Elokim natan Lacha Bimatana

Et Rabbi Nahman rosh HaEMunah

Elokim natan Lacha Bamatana

Lihyot BeUman rosh hashana

Natan Lacha et halayla vi hayaar

...

I don't know whoes it is, but it's on nanachs "Halhitim"

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by melost - 17 Feb 2013 15:57

---

i think we need to open a song thread

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by reallygettingthere - 18 Feb 2013 08:57

---

[melost wrote:](#)

i think we need to open a song thread

YES!

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by Gevura Shebyesod - 18 Feb 2013 09:42

---

We already have one...

<http://guardyoureyes.com/forum/2-What-Works-for-Me/138209-Songs-with-lyrics#138209>

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!

Posted by mr. emunah - 27 Feb 2013 01:42

---

I'm bored.

Let's get some good thymes rollin'

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!  
Posted by tehylimzuger - 06 Mar 2013 01:22

---

??? ??? ????? ????? (???? ?????) ??? ?? ??? ??? ?????????? ??"?

ay

HI GUYS

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!  
Posted by mr. emunah - 06 Mar 2013 02:48

---

WELOCOME! Teasy! we mussed U sow mush..!

=====  
=====

Re: NAMES! NAMES! NAMES!  
Posted by Dov - 08 Mar 2013 16:28

---

So? Because *you* are bored it means *we* have to work? How is that fair?

=====  
=====