

TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by sleepy - 25 Nov 2019 22:59

Hello. since the thank or not thank discussion was overcrowding the big o dilemma,a suggestion was given to me by one of the level headed posters to start a new thread on the issue .

The real name to the thread was supposed to be

"TO BE (grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful) ,

THAT IS THE QUESTION I ASK OF THEE"

but it was too many words.So Hatzlacha!

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by Markz - 26 Nov 2019 00:50

Please edit the post.

Its trigerring for non BB's

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by sleepy - 26 Nov 2019 07:05

could it be that people are afraid to thank, they will be oustrisized(wheres google spell check when you need him/her /it?)WHAT?! you thanked that fanatic?!ok .um im working on not chatting with so many people , please dont be insulted if you are removed from the gye elitist group chat...no nothing personal :tsk tsk:

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by Hakolhevel - 28 Nov 2019 14:26

I think we have different ideas what the purpose of the thank you button is for.

When I talk to people, I can understand if they appreciate what I am saying by looking at their facial features. Are they nodding off, spacing out or getting angry.

In a virtual forum you don't have that, the best you have is the thank you button.

I write in the forum sometimes just for myself, but other times it's too facilitate conversation, it to share with others something I think I think is valuable.

The thank you's let me know how people are taking what im saying. And consequently allows me to think, why don't people like this? Am i posting to often? Am i coming across to sharp? Do I sound like a know it all?

I don't agree with every post, it may just be a good counter argument or opinion to what I said, but it was valuable nonetheless.

People here do thank posts they disagree with, but there are many factors that will cause a post to be or not to be thanked as I explained above.

When someone writes something amazing/special then I up their karma (and Visa versa)

To me too put thank you on every post is a waste of everyone's time. They might as well make it that when you read something it automatically gives them a thank you.

Regarding newcomers to the sight I think it's a little different. When they first come on we need to give them alot of attention so they know we are listening and we are there for them.

This is just my understanding. If you look at it differently, please share your general approach.

P.s one thing I dont like, is when people use the forum as a chatroom with multiple posts one

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by sleepy - 28 Nov 2019 15:28

after the other, that's just my preference, so I don't give those a thank you either

[Hakolhevel wrote on 28 Nov 2019 14:26:](#)

I think we have different ideas what the purpose of the thank you button is for.

When I talk to people, I can understand if they appreciate what I am saying by looking at their facial features. Are they nodding off, spacing out or getting angry.

In a virtual forum you don't have that, the best you have is the thank you button.

I write in the forum sometimes just for myself, but other times it's too facilitate conversation, it to share with others something I think I think is valuable.

The thank you's let me know how people are taking what im saying. And consequently allows me to think, why don't people like this? Am i posting too often? Am i coming across too sharp? Do I sound like a know it all?

I don't agree with every post, it may just be a good counter argument or opinion to what I said, but it was valuable nonetheless.

People here do thank posts they disagree with, but there are many factors that will cause a post

to be or not to be thanked as I explained above.

When someone writes something amazing/special then I up their karma (and Visa versa)

To me too put thank you on every post is a waste of everyone's time. They might as well make it that when you read something it automatically gives them a thank you.

Regarding newcomers to the sight I think it's a little different. When they first come on we need to give them alot of attention so they know we are listening and we are there for them.

This is just my understanding. If you look at it differently, please share your general approach.

P.s one thing I dont like, is when people use the forum as a chatroom with multiple posts one

alot of good points ,id like to respond later on some of them , dont know if itll be before or after Shabbos , but thanks for your feedback

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by sleepy - 28 Nov 2019 23:36

[Hakolhevel wrote on 28 Nov 2019 14:26:](#)

I think we have different ideas what the purpose of the thank you button is for.

When I talk to people, I can understand if they appreciate what I am saying by looking at their facial features. Are they nodding off, spacing out or getting angry.

In a virtual forum you don't have that, the best you have is the thank you button.

I write in the forum sometimes just for myself, but other times it's too facilitate conversation, it to share with others something I think I think is valuable.

The thank you's let me know how people are taking what im saying. And consequently allows me to think, why don't people like this? Am i posting to often? Am i coming across to sharp? Do I sound like a know it all?

I don't agree with every post, it may just be a good counter argument or opinion to what I said, but it was valuable nonetheless.

People here do thank posts they disagree with, but there are many factors that will cause a post to be or not to be thanked as I explained above.

When someone writes something amazing/special then I up their karma (and Visa versa)

To me too put thank you on every post is a waste of everyone's time. They might as well make it that when you read something it automatically gives them a thank you.

Regarding newcomers to the sight I think it's a little different. When they first come on we need to give them alot of attention so they know we are listening and we are there for them.

This is just my understanding. If you look at it differently, please share your general approach.

P.s one thing I dont like, is when people use the forum as a chatroom with multiple posts one

after the other, that's just my preference, so I don't give those a thank you either

just want to say one point for now on the topic of thanking guys, ive just been going through a members thread which i wish i wouldve seen before.he was a big poster here ,and hes been gone.he mentioned a couple of times in his posts about he would appreciate a thank you, and like i said hes gone now.maybe its important to not be so principled in giving a thank you,people say that it becomes cheap if you thank too much, trust me nobody shot anybody for getting a thank you .

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by sleepy - 28 Nov 2019 23:52

i dont know ehre you live , but where i live , its hard to get a good morning out of someone not only at night, even in the morning .could be the reluctance in thanking has the same source (maybe it doesnt)

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by sleepy - 03 Dec 2019 17:58

[Hakolhevel wrote on 28 Nov 2019 14:26:](#)

I think we have different ideas what the purpose of the thank you button is for.

maybe one of my gripes with the lack of gratitude/thank you is that not only are thank yous not being given to good posts or hard worked opinion posts is there are alot of what i call "click (clique)thank yous"or "club -thank yous "

?meaning it doesnt matter if the "thank you"er appreciates the post or not , if it was a post posted by my buddy , ill click the thank you,even if the post was ridiculous or worthless,just go through some posts and see for yourselves that the same names appear in the thank you box when their buddy posted and you hardly see their names in the thank you box on other peoples posts , i call it the gye underground)

(hey i didnt say names so if your upset with what i wrote could be that deep in your heart you recognize that some of your posts fall under the category of ridiculous/worthless ,so dont blame me)

therefore i feel some people have to work on their gratitude. btw ill be the first to admit that my posts are ridiculous/worthless but id like to debate it first .

=====
====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by sleepy - 03 Dec 2019 19:21

its really amazing how stingy and cheap people are with their thank yous

=====
====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by Trouble - 04 Dec 2019 00:46

[sleepy wrote on 03 Dec 2019 19:21:](#)

its really amazing how stingy and cheap people are with their thank yous

Work for a cause, not for applause.

Live life to express, not to impress.

Don't strive to make your presence noticed, make your absence felt.

[Two things; 1. I work for applause and to impress and to be noticed. My work works, so I'm not complaining. 2. HHM & Yechida work for a cause and to express and to make their absence felt; HHM gets plenty of thanks (in person as well as on the post thingy) & Yechida is plenty appreciated. Personally, I swear at him all the time, but I always tell him how much he is appreciated. I'm not saying that to show I'm a good guy, I'm not, I'm rotten. I'm saying that to demonstrate that he's appreciated by me and by others.)

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by Mark18 - 04 Dec 2019 03:21

[sleepy wrote on 25 Nov 2019 22:59:](#)

Hello. since the thank or not thank discussion was overcrowding the big o dilemma,a suggestion was given to me by one of the level headed posters to start a new thread on the issue .

The real name to the thread was supposed to be

"TO BE (grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful) ,
THAT IS THE QUESTION I ASK OF THEE"

but it was too many words.So Hatzlacha!

I will begin this thread - thank you Hashem/My Father from my heart for bringing me to my brothers here and all of their varied posts and perspectives which give me untold chizuk and frankly without whom i would not be clean and wouldnt have the insights and deeper perspective which i now have. These guys are life and soul savers.

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by lionking - 04 Dec 2019 05:35

[sleepy wrote on 03 Dec 2019 19:21:](#)

its really amazing how stingy and cheap people are with their thank yous

Thank you's used to be a very cherished thing, until someone came along and thanked every post thereby cheaping the value of the thanks.

I have a secret formula to determine whether to thank a post.

2% of it is if the post is inspiring to me. 4% of it is if it will hold me back from masturbating.

3% is my mood.

99% is pure randomness.

Did I ever say I'm good at math?!

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)
Posted by sleepy - 04 Dec 2019 05:40

[lionking wrote on 04 Dec 2019 05:35:](#)

[sleepy wrote on 03 Dec 2019 19:21:](#)

its really amazing how stingy and cheap people are with their thank yous

Thank you's used to be a very cherished thing, until someone came along and thanked every post ***thereby cheaping the value of the thanks.***

I have a secret formula to determine whether to thank a post.

2% of it is if the post is inspiring to me. 4% of it is if it will hold me back from masturbating.

3% is my mood.

99% is pure randomness.

Did I ever say I'm good at math?!

if they became so cheap, why are people so stingy with them?probably their parents never taught them to say thank you.

and by the way , ask the owners of the post if they are appreciative when they receive a "cheap" thanks ,i dont think anyone will be **not** beappreciative,and if its appreciated ,then open up your tight fist and give a thanks!Thanks.(by the way dont thank me ,thats not my intention)

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by lionking - 04 Dec 2019 05:52

My previous post was tongue in cheek hence the emoji..

In all seriousness,

I thank posts that speak to me.

I don't thank posts which I feel the poster is impos(t)ing an agenda.

I thank posts which help me get through the day, either by chizuk or a good smile.

I don't thank posts which criticize other people.

Thank You

=====
=====

Re: TO BE(grateful)OR NOT TO BE(grateful)

Posted by sleepy - 04 Dec 2019 06:07

[quote="Trouble" post=345706 date=1575420382 catid=20]

[sleepy wrote on 03 Dec 2019 19:21:](#)

its really amazing how stingy and cheap people are with their thank yous

Work for a cause, not for applause.

Live life to express, not to impress.

Don't strive to make your presence noticed, make your absence felt.

[Two things; 1. I work for applause and to impress and to be noticed. My work works, so I'm not complaining. 2. HHM & Yechida work for a cause and to express and to make their absence felt; HHM gets plenty of thanks (in person as well as on the post thingy) & Yechida is plenty appreciated. Personally, I swear at him all the time, but I always tell him how much he is appreciated. I'm not saying that to show I'm a good guy, I'm not, I'm rotten. I'm saying that to demonstrate that he's appreciated by me and by others.)[/quote]

im only writing on behalf of all the hard working and well intentioned and underappreciated posters who dont get thier fair due of appreciation.someone mentioned to me , dont remembr in pm or on the forum that maybe i should think into it why my posts are not appreciated and therefore not thanked.I'll have you know ,if all the people that i feel should get thank yous were thanked, and i didnt get a thank, i gaurentee you that my thought process wouldnt be , hey why dont people appreciate my good posts ? mwhat my thought process would be , in what way am i writing that people dont appreciate them meaning its MY fault that i dont get thank yous, but since their are people who do deserve a thank you and dont get it ,it probably is not my posts thats the problem(could be it is...) its the peoples lack of derech erez not to thank ,plus their porn addiction that causes them to dehumanize their wives and dehumanizes their fellow posters.

=====
=====