

Regarding the web site

Posted by godhelp - 14 Apr 2015 19:12

I do not want to come across hurtful or irrational in any way possible since GYE saved my life.

But

The site sucks it has a slow response time,its cluttered, not a simple easy interface, chats just got worse lately, mobile version is just plain torture to use.

It just needs a lot of work, and it is fairly simple stuff to fix, so what is the hold up ?

Is there anything we can do to help ?

From a user whom sit on forums and threads most of the day.

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the web site

Posted by godhelp - 16 Apr 2015 19:04

First of all i am really sorry for coming across so strong. Did not mean to offend anybody,since i see my choice of language was offensive to some.

I suggest.

For the developers to create a sub forum where the users can ask website questions, give suggestions, request new features, and give bug reports.

This seems very basic and can be really helpful to everybody to make this community better for everybody.

PS: Let me take the time to say thanks to GYE for saving my life, my marriage, and my yiddishkeit. Specifically to cordnoy who is always there to help me understand myself and guide

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the web site
Posted by Gevura Shebyesod - 16 Apr 2015 20:49
me with his brilliant insight on life.

I think there was once a thread for that... Yeah... Here:

['Fishel Bug Reporting Thread](#)

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the web site
Posted by Yesodi - 20 Nov 2015 13:57

[Gevura Shebyesod wrote:](#)

Please report specific complaints or suggestions by emailing eyes.guard@gmail.com.

IMHO, temporarily ignoring the somewhat irreverent language used in the original post of this thread, I think that it is very important for there to be a public thread, or even an entire section, dedicated to "**Regarding the web site**".

If the only avenue for constructive criticism to directly email eyes.guard@gmail.com, then this immensely important and most worthy site will miss out on the opportunity for public discussion on initial suggestions and comments -- which can often lead to a collective "refinement" of these ideas, in the "open source" and "crowdsourcing" traditions. It might even attract tech-savvy members with programming skills to volunteer their time and energy towards advancing the various technologies used by GYE (not only the website, but also the mobile app, and possibly other areas).

To give a sense of how this might look, I present two examples:

- In the "General" section of the [NoFap.com](#) Forums, there is an entire "[NoFap](#)" subsection dedicated to: "Discussion about NoFap itself - the forum, the website, the apps, etc. Need technical support or want to make a suggestion? This is a great place to get in touch with the team."
- Associated with the "[Mi Yodeya](#)" question-and-answer site that is part of the StackExchange network, there is an associated "[Meta](#)" group for discussions on "Mi Yodeya" itself.

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the web site
Posted by Shlomo24 - 20 Nov 2015 15:24

i just checked out nofap. never heard of it before... really cool

=====
=====

Regarding the GYE Android app
Posted by Yesodi - 10 Feb 2016 22:04

[Yesodi wrote on Unknown:](#)

... I think that it is very important for there to be a public thread, or even an entire section, dedicated to "**Regarding the web site**".

...

I see that there is *still* not any sub-forum (or even fixed thread) dedicated to questions,

comments, suggestions, and constructive criticism regarding this website and associated tools and services. Chaval.

Anyway, I wanted to ask a few questions regarding the [GYE Android App](#):

1. First of all, I just noticed that the app no longer appears [in the Google Play store](#). Why is that?
2. And my main question: as one who is rather **paranoid** about possible **violations of privacy** in connection with such sensitive issues, and who so very much appreciates the importance of GYE's claim to "[zealously protect the complete anonymity of all our members](#)," I would like to receive clarification regarding the Android permissions upon which the app relies:

I have already installed the app (v1.36.73.152), and notice that among the various Android permissions that it uses, are: [1] "read phone status and **identity**"; and [2] "**find accounts** on the device" / "read **Google service configuration**".

?As one who is not an expert in Android programming, I *innocently* and *respectfully* ask:

- are these permissions **truly needed** in order to allow the app to properly function?
- might these permissions unintentionally **compromise** the "zealous protection of complete anonymity"?

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the GYE Android app
Posted by Yesodi - 15 Feb 2016 22:34

[Yesodi wrote on Unknown](#):

Anyway, I wanted to ask a few questions regarding the [GYE Android App](#):

1. First of all, I just noticed that the app no longer appears [in the Google Play store](#). Why is that?
2. And my main question: as one who is rather **paranoid** about possible **violations of privacy** in connection with such sensitive issues, and who so very much appreciates the importance of GYE's claim to "[zealously protect the complete anonymity of all our members](#)," I would like to receive clarification regarding the Android permissions upon which the app relies:

I have already installed the app (v1.36.73.152), and notice that among the various Android permissions that it uses, are: [1] "read phone status and **identity**"; and [2] "**find accounts** on the device" / "read **Google service configuration**".

?As one who is not an expert in Android programming, I *innocently* and *respectfully* ask:

- are these permissions **truly needed** in order to allow the app to properly function?
- might these permissions unintentionally **compromise** the "zealous protection of complete anonymity"?

Am I the only paranoid privacy buf that has such worries (or at least: questions)?

=====
=====

Re: Regarding the web site
Posted by obormottel - 04 Sep 2016 18:43

Regarding new GYE App:

we don't actually collect any info whatsoever, and we'll adjust the requested permissions in the next version.

=====
=====