

Parenthesis

Posted by Trouble - 10 Oct 2019 14:24

so, I said the parenthesis in zakkah yesterday - several times. the first time, before yk actually started, I was a bit distracted and I skipped over it. heck, I never actually "did it." I mean, it was there for the taking, but for some reason I held back. I even told her about those damned parenthesis! she understood. but then once yk got rolling, and I had the opportunity to say it several more times, I actually said those words. I told God and myself that it really made little difference. yes, the frummies and the midrash quoters will spew that stuff about actual cohabitation with an idolatress, a gentile woman! and even one who is not your actual partner. I am actually reminded now that she was in fact Jewish, a bas mitzvah, and all. got called to the Torah, wow! but I reasoned that this justification crap was going too far. you think my wife would go for the technicality that there wasn't penetration!? bottom line, I was too close for comfort, I mean it was comfortable and more, but it's not a position I wanna be found in. I certainly don't wanna be caught that way, but besides that, I'd rather not even entertain that possibility, although I actually found those thoughts slipping in several times throughout the day. am I being clear? I hope so.

I'd like to make a second half post to this and that will be about the zakkah in general. why all the women, Keri, etc. talk? but I'm running outta time now. sorry for the non-capitalization. my notes app doesn't do that for some reason.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 24 Oct 2019 07:33

[higher wrote on 18 Oct 2019 18:50:](#)

[sleepy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 17:59:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:59:](#)

[Tzvi5 wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:45:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:](#)

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

Because all sins are not on his to do list.

You missed the point (and perhaps I wasn't clear in the pronoun). If that (the requirements I laid out) was the prerequisite, they wouldn't do it (the masturbation).

higher wrote:

"but i believe this qoute is not addressing what i said."

i think it was still not addressed

whats "it"? the dov qoute? it was. see above. if "it" means what i said (about mz"l being the worst sin-)mggsbms, (say that 3x fast), (i think), addressed it.

it wasnt addressed,meaning: the talk was if zl is the worst aveira or not, mr. c quoted mr. d saying its not the worst aveira because the 8 baby murder seemed to be ,in mr d's mind worse,so how can we say that zl is the worst(i think its called circular logic), and the second quote (on the question if zl is the worst) was if THEY really believe zl is the worst they wouldnt do it, end quote,what THEY believe or not has nothing to do if it is the worst or not. so the post wasnt addressing the matter under discussion (if zl is the worst aveira or not)

kapish?

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 24 Oct 2019 07:42

sgvbsdb

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 24 Oct 2019 07:44

[cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:](#)

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. **Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.**

a good friend of mind asked me to post this post:

does mr.d. feel the last sentence makes his statement more intimidating that the reader will accept his opinion more readily?(is there a moderator in the house?)

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 24 Oct 2019 14:10

[sleepy wrote on 24 Oct 2019 07:33:](#)

[higher wrote on 18 Oct 2019 18:50:](#)

[sleepy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 17:59:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:59:](#)

[Tzvi5 wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:45:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:](#)

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

Because all sins are not on his to do list.

You missed the point (and perhaps I wasn't clear in the pronoun). If that (the requirements I laid

out) was the prerequisite, they wouldn't do it (the masturbation).

higher wrote:

"but i believe this qoute is not addressing what i said."

i think it was still not addressed

whats "it"? the dov qoute? it was. see above. if "it" means what i said (about mz"l being the worst sin-)mggsbms, (say that 3x fast), (i think), addressed it.

it wasnt addressed,meaning: the talk was if zl is the worst aveira or not, mr. c quoted mr. d saying its not the worst aveira because the 8 baby murder seemed to be ,in mr d's mind worse,so how can we say that zl is the worst(i think its called circular logic), and the second quote (on the question if zl is the worst) was if THEY really believe zl is the worst they wouldnt do it, end quote,what THEY believe or not has nothing to do if it is the worst or not. so the post wasnt addressing the matter under discussion (if zl is the worst aveira or not)

kapish?

see above.

i said that mggsbms addressed it.

i dont know if i agree with him in terms of the kittzur, see there.

some good stuff that you wrote btw especially the last one about intimidating. theres lots more to say about the approach to opinions on gye in general, but you make a good point.

personally i feel a chat or forum is a good way to have a debate even with aggressive types of guys because alot of the screaming and noise and intimidation is blocked out. (no, im not saying dov is aggressive).

this can be a thread of its own....

=====
====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 24 Oct 2019 14:17

one thing.

dovs point was clearly explained by hhm before in terms of the babies and stuff. no one is arguing what in fact is worse. (at least the shitah of the kitzur)

the shmooze is how can a person still do it.

i addressed that in my response to hhm and no the person isnt a crock full of wtvr there just being played by the yh. someone that does an avierah isnt a kofer to what it says in the torah against it either. the yh doesnt always conform to what we logically KNOW and neither do our emotions, hence our actions. i think i gave a bit more detail there.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 24 Oct 2019 15:32

[sleepy wrote on 24 Oct 2019 05:47:](#)

[sleepy wrote on 24 Oct 2019 05:38:](#)

[Trouble wrote on 23 Oct 2019 15:47:](#)

Actually, trouble's last post was not leitzanus at all. His initial post remains intact.

A simple point: tefillas zakkah proves that masturbation was rampant throughout our history. The tefillah said immediately at the onset of y"k mentions several times that we are confessing to the sin of masturbation (not the sin of looking at internet without filters). No bubby can tell us otherwise. Zakkah was not written for the six oisvorfs. It was written for klal yisroel as a whole, and especially the frum world. No, it wasn't (solely) written with our generation in mind.

Now, just because it was rampant, does not mean the sin is not a severe one. And just because it was around then, it doesn't mean you shouldn't get a filter.

trouble wrote:

"A simple point: tefillas zakkah proves that masturbation was rampant throughout our history."

"throughout our history."

1.if our history spans the long history of about 200 years when this tefila was suggested to be said in the sefer chayey adom, maybe you have a point but i think we were around longer than that.

2.ehhh, i think other things are mentioned in that tefila , like forgiving others and hoping others will forgive us because in bein adam lachaveiro, Yom Kipur is not mechaper, which could be why that tefila was suggested to be said and not for the gye reasons so much.(also the Tefila includes accepting upon ones self the 5 inuyim which we should add and accept before its actually Yom Kipur)

case closed ?

wheres trouble when you want him?

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 24 Oct 2019 16:46

hes not called trouble for nothing...

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 25 Oct 2019 00:59

[Markz wrote on 23 Oct 2019 16:14:](#)

“there is no PROOF that it was rampant”

In 100 years from now they will say there's no proof it existed in 2019 - GYE website was a hoax with some unemployed bored guys nothing else to do with their lives a day after the chag...

sorry, dont mean to start up, but i dont think its respectful to accuse gye members who post here as people who are "laydig'gey'ers"-just idle people who have nothing else to do , and i am surprised at some of the people who thanked your post.i think some people are owed an apology.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis
Posted by higher - 25 Oct 2019 01:04

i agree.

also, it just distracts from the discussion and is similar to the intimidation tactic (in that way) that sleepy mentioned before.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis
Posted by Trouble - 25 Oct 2019 03:17

Because this thread has been kinda dull today and uninspiring and perhaps even some buffoonery, and perhaps all of the above is my fault, as I'm always causing....., but anyway, here goes: Strangely in the tefillah that was inserted for all to say by mussaf of yamim noraim (and maybe other times as well), we say that the length of the galus is because of our seed emission, and not only little us, but all of Klal Yisroel, and not only by accident, but also on purpose, and not only in this gilgul but also other gilgulim, and perhaps (although maybe I'm reading this wrong): the neshamos all over the place are doing it as well (is there internet in Knockturn Alley and Ottery St Catchpole?), and this is the reason the BHM"K is not being built (and here i thought it was because of all the snide remarks I write on this site), and then we say that He should gather all these seeds and turn them into luminaries, etc. My goodness gracious! All this because of the six or seven leidigers!? Where's the yashrus? I got thru this post and I didn't use

the "R" word.

At least this saved me from searching for escorts for a few minutes. Anybody wish we could have those Y"N days again?

Be good fellows; love you all!

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 25 Oct 2019 03:40

[Trouble wrote on 25 Oct 2019 03:17:](#)

Because this thread has been kinda dull today and uninspiring and perhaps even some buffoonery, and perhaps all of the above is my fault, as I'm always causing....., but anyway, here goes: Strangely in the tefillah that was inserted for all to say by mussaf of yamim noraim (and maybe other times as well), we say that the length of the galus is because of our seed emission, and not only little us, but all of Klal Yisroel, and not only by accident, but also on purpose, and not only in this gilgul but also other gilgulim, and perhaps (although maybe I'm reading this wrong): the neshamos all over the place are doing it as well (is there internet in Knockturn Alley and Ottery St Catchpole?), and this is the reason the BHM"K is not being built (and here i thought it was because of all the snide remarks I write on this site), and then we say that He should gather all these seeds and turn them into luminaries, etc. My goodness gracious! All this because of the six or seven leidigers!? Where's the yashrus? I got thru this post and I didn't use the "R" word.

At least this saved me from searching for escorts for a few minutes. Anybody wish we could have those Y"N days again?

Be good fellows; love you all!

was done by ALL of klal yisroel. save for yaakov avinu. (and some exceptions). was done **enough** that it caused such effects. bearing in mind that this is a **uniquely** serious sin, how **many** times ppl did it may not play as big a role.

how much is enough?

i dont know.

guess what! (big secret)

neither do YOU!

no proof that it was "rampant".

no one says only 6 or 7 (no one ever said it either, but hey maybe i have trouble reading the posts)

i bolded some words maybe that will make it clearer, who knows.

p.s. was this post supposed to be inspiring? interesting? im not saying just wondering.

u2!

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 25 Oct 2019 04:43

just as an aside what does "on purpose" mean?

that "you" actually want the zera to go out?

i know someone very near and dear who wanted only to go till the edge but never that it should actually go out.

is he messing around with the prayers?

seems hard to call that "intentional" over "negligent" but perhaps thats a question for someone that knows the geder of mayzid well.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 25 Oct 2019 05:03

[Trouble wrote on 25 Oct 2019 03:17:](#)

Because this thread has been kinda dull today and uninspiring and perhaps even some buffoonery, and perhaps all of the above is my fault, as I'm always causing....., but anyway, here goes: Strangely in the tefillah that was inserted for all to say by mussaf of yamim noraim (and maybe other times as well), we say that the length of the galus is because of our seed emission, and not only little us, but all of Klal Yisroel, and not only by accident, but also on purpose, and not only in this gilgul but also other gilgulim, and perhaps (although maybe I'm reading this wrong): the neshamos all over the place are doing it as well (is there internet in Knockturn Alley and Ottery St Catchpole?), and this is the reason the BHM"K is not being built (and here I thought it was because of all the snide remarks I write on this site), and then we say that He should gather all these seeds and turn them into luminaries, etc. My goodness gracious! All this because of the six or seven leidigers!? Where's the yashrus? I got thru this post and I didn't use the "R" word.

At least this saved me from searching for escorts for a few minutes. Anybody wish we could have those Y"N days again?

Be good fellows; love you all!

hi.good points. did you take the trouble of reading my above post?200-250 years ago the Chayay Adom ztl (who lived in the time of the Vilna Gaon ztl)instituted that it should be said(the artscroll machzor says that the Chayey Adom composed it) .does that mean that it was rampant through our whole history?of course its no proof.thats all.and btw there are many aveiros which are blamed for the length of the galus , like bitul Torah,sinas chinam.

=====
=====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by bego - 25 Oct 2019 09:30

May I suggest that a lot of the debate here might stem from people's mesorah? For example, those with a more chassidish mesorah MIGHT be less inclined to think negatively about previous geenerations (I know many chasidim (pure satmar) and their kibbud ov v'eim and their general appreciation of previous doros is inspiring) whereas I think the litvishe world (pre-the making of our gedolim into rebbes) was always more cynical.

Dunno. Maybe?

It can get a little confusing trying to work out who is debating who here, so I'm just going to address the oilom (if anyone is interested). I do think that previous doros always had these issues. The torah itself (as I have previously noted and please, don't tell me we cant judge them, I'm not. But I am saying the Torah is full of this stuff) has lots of interesting examples. Classic gemoro about the apprentice who messed with his master's wife... Do you really think the beis hamikodsh was destroyed just because of that? Is Hashem that petty? it's a sign of those times. Such things were rampant (nice assertion there).

There is a Rishon somewhere (helpful that, isn't it) who talks about how people in his dor are doing basically every Aveiro under the sun between men and women. Or is it a kli yokor? I don't remember.

Who does the MB in the biur halocho on the 10 days of repentance bring the teshuva for someone who sleeps with a shikse? Because it so chomur? There are plenty of chomur aveiros. Maybe because it's because its frequent?

For the record, (IMHO) trouble isn't trouble. He's a real Yid who asks hard questions as a yid should. I don't go for all this modern stuff about accepting everything. Look in the rishonim. they asked hard questions and looked for answers. Trouble is just following in that mesora.

=====
=====