

The Women object!! (Siri-ously)

Posted by Markz - 10 Nov 2015 05:18

The Guys' Guide to Seeing Women, Not Objects

PLEASE SKIP THE SPOILER POSTS THAT AREN'T TOO IMPORTANT

Warning: Spoiler!

=====

Re: The Women object!!

Posted by markz - 18 Apr 2016 22:32

[Nosson wrote on 31 May 2009 18:23:](#)

I do do slaa online its really anonymous they cant even hear you...

If you are insane you need to do anything to get back on track Rabbi Twersky feels the same way as well

here is something else to think of

it really really made me think of this from a different perspective!, I got this from a goy!!!

Then I said that "**I thought I was a kind a friendly person**" I got quite a shock from what came next:

"When I objectify someone ... I rob them of their individuality, their humanity, their human rights, their dignity, their thoughts, their opinions, their spirituality, their beliefs, their history, their choices, and everything about them that makes them the wonderful unique individuals they all really are. I don't need none of that stuff. I can and do use them as I see fit. That is my purpose for them. Other than their usefulness to me, they have little or no part in my life. In simple point of fact, it is much harder for me to objectify someone I actually know, since all that reality gets in the way of a perfectly wonderful fantasy.

Now, just how friendly is that ... really? Does that match my personal definition of friendly? How does my personal definition of friendly stack up against an dictionary or encyclopedia definition? Am I possibly using the wrong words and terms here? One reason I mention this is a dichotomy in sex and love addiction itself. Most of us addicts do not love ourselves. So how on earth can we love someone else? We all have our own definition of 'love'. We all think we know what the word means. it's a really good idea to check that self definition, in writing, against the ones in the dictionary and encyclopedia. See if maybe we are a tad off base.

Now, just how sensitive is that ... really? How do I define sensitive? How does my own definition of sensitive stack up against an dictionary or encyclopedia definition? Am I possibly using the wrong words and terms here?

If I tell myself I am a friendly, sensitive, caring person and, in my fantasy world, I am none of those things ... I have a dichotomy in my life. I am doing two opposing actions at the same time. I cancel myself out. I am basically unethical, dishonest, and living a life that is a lie. To put it mildly I may well be somewhat immoral. I can not live a lie and be at peace and comfort in my life. I can not achieve any satisfaction much less serenity. I have to decide, discover, who I really am and want I want and need and be honest with myself first and then other people about those things"

=====

=====

Re: The Women object!!

Posted by markz - 01 May 2016 04:18

[Blind Beggar wrote on 30 May 2011 11:41:](#)

Today I will try not to lust after, objectify or deify any woman or girl.

Tomorrow I will try not to lust after, objectify or deify any woman or girl.

[Dov wrote on 30 May 2011 11:41:](#)

The only insurance and tefila you can make today that may have *some* chance of being effective to improve tomorrow is doing right today. That's it.

In frum words, the only segula for tomorrow's sobriety is today's.

Not so for the white-knuckler, lo aleinu R"l, for those guys the more days they hold back, the more desperate to act out they become! For them, counting the days is the disease and the cause of their future acting out *itself*!

Bar minon.

=====

=====

Re: The Women object!!

Posted by Markz - 27 Jul 2016 11:32

[zipper wrote on 27 Jul 2016 06:33:](#)

Went to bed early last night because my internet was down (as a result of GYE advice).

Hard thing is all the chicks outside with these tight shirts; it is warm weather in NL. They seem my ultimate friends. But it is a deception. God help me

I have a hunch that the term chicks was coined at the

Objectifying National Convention

As long as we support that party, we are hurting the folks from Venus and ourselves

=====

=====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)

Posted by Shivisi_Hashem - 14 Sep 2017 01:19

[markz wrote on 21 Dec 2015 19:06:](#)

[the.guard wrote:](#)

Dear Chasid...

Viewing porn turns all women into objects of lust. This is the very opposite of what relations in a Jewish marriage are meant to be. The "lust" aspect of sexual desire was created by G-d to cause a man to seek out a woman and take her for himself. Once a couple is married, the "lust"

aspect of sex should be relegated to the side and should no longer be a dominant aspect of the relationship between the two. They have dedicated themselves to each other, and when they are together, they should be cultivating an emotional bond through the sharing and sensuality of intercourse. Sex is a "sacred moment" of closeness between the two of them. This is also perhaps why Chaza"l prohibited having relations by day. Firstly, because one may see something about his wife's body that may turn him off, and on the other side of the coin, by seeing his wife's body he is fueling **his lust** instead of focusing on the true sacredness of the moment.

A man who insists to make "lust" the most dominant aspect of his relations, is losing out on the true connection and closeness that martial relations should cultivate, and ultimately his marriage is likely to fall apart. As his wife has children and her body changes, and/or as she gets older, he will loose interest in her and he will never be able to lead a normal marriage life. A relationship built on "lust" quickly fades away. Also, by fueling the lust through his relations and not focusing on building the emotional bond with his wife, he will continue to be pulled after the other women he sees on the street, and will transgress all the time the Issur D'eoraysah of "Lo Sachmod". Lust is truly a poison, and the nature of lust is that "the more you feed it, the more you need it". One can never get enough of lust. In the end, either we win it over or it wins us over.

Just one last thought. Even with a strong resolve, one should never trust themselves in these areas and should get a strong Internet filter for their computer to help them through moments of weakness. And never stop davening to Hashem to save you and your offspring from what is perhaps this generation's biggest test!

I just bumped into this post, what a great post....

thank you

=====
=====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)

Posted by Markz - 01 Dec 2017 05:04

Re-bump

=====

====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)
Posted by Markz - 11 Dec 2018 17:25

So long as we put the female entity on a pedestal we will continue to worship them.

When I make work related or short small talk with a female co-worker like "good morning - it's coooold out" it removes the pedestal

Our sages say "Don't make much conversation with THE woman"

THE woman may refer to one you idolize and we are instructed to make short curt conversation which will help de-objectify

Hatzlacha

Disclaimer: This is what works for me

=====

====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)
Posted by lionking - 11 Dec 2018 20:02

How's the commute you mentioned last week, going?

=====

====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)
Posted by Markz - 11 Dec 2018 20:28

[lionking wrote on 11 Dec 2018 20:02:](#)

How's the commute you mentioned last week, going?

If I could I would object

=====
=====

Re: The Women object!! (Siri-ously)
Posted by lionking - 12 Dec 2018 02:18

[Markz wrote on 11 Dec 2018 20:28:](#)

[lionking wrote on 11 Dec 2018 20:02:](#)

How's the commute you mentioned last week, going?

If I could I would object

I thought you are working on de-object-ifying the woman.

Siri-ously, just keep your eyes on the road and drive that Monsta Truck of yours.

=====
=====