

Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by kadeshli - 10 Aug 2020 16:26

Devarim 23:10 - "And you shall guard from every evil thing". Is this an issur against hirhurim raim? I know there is an opinion in the gemara that says so (Kesubos 46a). But is this issur codified in any halachic literature? Thanks for the help.

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by Grant400 - 10 Aug 2020 20:04

Shulchan Aruch ; Even Haezer ; siman 23, seif 3.

Rambam; Isurie biah; 23, 5 &21.

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by kadeshli - 11 Aug 2020 15:04

Thanks again. I found your citation in Shulchan Aruch but I couldn't find the one in Mishneh Torah.

When I looked at the place you cited in Shulchan Aruch in the same siman but in seif 5 it says the following. What does this mean (what's in the parenthesis)? Please respond in ivris or PM me.

??? ??? ?? ????? ????? ???" ? ?????? ????? ??? ????? ?????? ????? (??? ????? ????? ?? ????? ???????????
????? ?????? ????? ?????) ?????? ??????? ?" ? ?????? ??" ? ' ??? ?? ??????:

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by Grant400 - 11 Aug 2020 15:28

Hey there! It's a discussion about a husband having relations with a wife who cannot become pregnant. If it is considered zera livatala. An "eilenis" is a woman who will never reach puberty and a "ketana" is a girl too young to be impregnated. If one is married to either one of these woman even though the sperm will inevitably go to waste nevertheless since it is the "derech haaretz" i.e. it is a normal way of having relations with a wife it is permitted. In contrast to the first case where I believe there is a blockage not allowing the sperm to reach the proper place where that is not a normal way it is forbidden.

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by Grant400 - 11 Aug 2020 15:30

[kadeshli wrote on 11 Aug 2020 15:04:](#)

Thanks again. I found your citation in Shulchan Aruch but I couldn't find the one in Mishneh Torah.

In the Rambam it isn't straight out but he forbids certain things due to a chance of it causing hirhurim. We see an issur from there.

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?
Posted by Markz - 11 Aug 2020 16:50

What does the Code of Law say about YouTube?

And what about Miamonides, if he clearly forbids can you check the Raavad's opinion for me

=====
=====

Re: Q: Torah/Rabbinic Issur against Hirhurim Raim?

Posted by Markz - 05 Dec 2023 20:45

Here seems to be the place for all theoretical discussions.

[boca19 wrote on 05 Dec 2023 18:31:](#)

I definitely hear that HASHKAFICALLY it can be considered porn.

But HALACHICALLY one is 100 percent permitted to have any kind of thought about his wife
Heres another

This is 99% incorrect.

I may be off by 1

=====
====