

a simple question about a non-simple thing

Posted by kadosh - 16 Feb 2011 15:36

Hi people,

I am curious about one question. A jewish man that have relations (yeah, it is really that kind of relations) with a jewish woman.

If it was a one time thing, does he need to give her a get? How is this issue?

Thank you

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing

Posted by Ineedhelp!! - 16 Feb 2011 17:08

I'm not sure what the answer is but I think Kadosh doesnt know because of the mishna: Ha'isha Nikneis bikesef shtar **U'biah**....

I'm not sure what the answer is. If this is a real life situation then a Rav should be asked.

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing

Posted by ZemirosShabbos - 16 Feb 2011 17:09

[Yiddle2 wrote on 16 Feb 2011 17:08:](#)

If this is a real life situation then a Rav should be asked.

sound like a really good idea

you can ask anonymously if need be

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Yosef Hatzadik - 16 Feb 2011 17:22

Ask a competent Rabbi.

I do recall hearing on this subject about a case which came before **Harav Avigdor Miller Zichrono Livracha**. At a party for teenagers, a bunch of boys gave 'kidushin' to a bunch of girls..... [There was no way of knowing who gave to whom.] The Rav had them all the boys give a *get* to each of the girls. Rabbi Miller explained to someone that even though there was no genuine intent to marry & therefore there was no real significance to their actions, he wanted them to give the *get* (along with all that it entails! [i.e. The girls get the status of a [i]Gerusha[/i>!]) to teach them a lesson about the severity of their actions.....

I also have a memory of hearing that concerning *bi'uh* Chazal say that *ein adam osseh bi'asso be'ilas z'nus* & he does it *l'shem kidushin*.....

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Yosef Hatzadik - 16 Feb 2011 18:17

[David/Rage wrote on 16 Feb 2011 17:59:](#)

if you want to be mekadesh with biya you need witnesses...some say they need to witness the pen go into the inkwell...

What about *hein hein eida yichud*, *hein hein eidei bi'uh* - we do not need witnesses for the actual deed. It is sufficient that there are witnesses to their being secluded privately long enough for them to do the deed?

Should this thread be moved to the [Bais Hamedrash](#) thread? :-\

Or is that only for guys who write Torah when they actually know what they are talking about??
:o

=====
=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by ben durdayah - 16 Feb 2011 18:56

They are not considered eidim unless the man made it clear that the purpose of the yichud is for kiddushin, in such case it is not necessary to see a biah -a yichud ha'raui l'biah is enough.

=====
=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Rising Up - 16 Feb 2011 19:37

[Yosef Hatzadik wrote on 16 Feb 2011 18:17:](#)

Should this thread be moved to the [Bais Hamedrash](#) thread? :-\

Or is that only for guys who write Torah when they actually know what they are talking about??
:0

Y.H. This is the raid outside the Bais Hamedrash.

=====
=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Yosef Hatzadik - 16 Feb 2011 19:41

[David/Rage wrote on 16 Feb 2011 19:32:](#)

yosef, you can ignore this post since i am not learned enough for you....

If I tried to argue, you would just say that I am acting humble! ;D

Fact is though, I mostly know only *Aggadeta*.

The Yosef Hatzadik's Ha'oros thread is based on Chumash, Rashi, & speeches I heard.

=====
=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by ben durdayah - 16 Feb 2011 22:26

Oh my gosh![RisingUp wrote on 16 Feb 2011 19:37:](#)

Y.H. This is the raid outside the Bais Hamedrash.

Awesome play on words...

'Raid' get it?

????

Gevaldiggg!

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Yosef Hatzadik - 16 Feb 2011 22:30

[ben durdayah wrote on 16 Feb 2011 22:26:](#)

'Raid' get it?

???

This was the only pshat I understood.

After reading your post I chapped another pshat. (Like in Thursday nights raiding the kitchen in Yeshiva) Is that what you meant?

=====

Re: a simple question about a non-simple thing
Posted by Holy Yid - 20 Feb 2011 03:35

I do not pasken. This is simply an academic rant (or rage).

It is possible the questionnaire is concerned about her being his peilegesh. If they lived together long term and it was known that they were living together then it might be worth talking to a Rav.

=====