

Kol isha question.

Posted by MaalinBKodesh - 24 Sep 2009 01:45

.

=====
=====

Re: Kol isha question.

Posted by Tomim2B - 24 Sep 2009 02:12

There are opinions which hold that the digital voice (meaning a recording) of a women is not *halachikly* considered to be *kol isha*. In any case where it cannot be prevented such as in a grocery store for example, you can rely on these opinions freely. Still, as an addict, try to consider it background noise and make every attempt to ignore it. I know for myself, *kol isha* can be very triggering.

2B

=====
=====

Re: Kol isha question.

Posted by Kedusha - 24 Sep 2009 03:30

Another consideration: Some Poskim are lenient if you don't know what the woman who is singing looks like.

=====
=====

Re: Kol isha question.

Posted by BruceWayne - 24 Sep 2009 05:32

Oddly enough I've never really had an issue with recordings, even if I know what she looks like.

Maybe because I can't stand the style of music that you normally hear women singing (ie, "pop" as opposed to good old metal).

=====

====

Re: Kol isha question.

Posted by jerusalemsexaddict - 24 Sep 2009 10:07

Rav Moshe matirs learning even if there is a woman singing in the next apartment.

And he matirs getting on trains even though you will bump into a woman inevitably.

And he matirs learning on trains when there are poorly dressed women near you.

We live in this world.

We try to avoid pritzus when we can.

But we cant let the tumah prevent our kedusha.

Halachos are halachos.

We do what we can.

=====

====

Re: Kol isha question.

Posted by Sturggle - 24 Sep 2009 10:18

[Uri wrote on 24 Sep 2009 10:07:](#)

Halachos are halachos.

or are they halichos?

or are halichos halachos?

oh man! im confused!

maalin, you ask some good questions.

do you have a rav that you can ask?

=====

=====