

90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less

Posted by Shteeble - 08 Jul 2011 13:29

Okay Chevra, we have 90,000 to go. Please keep to one reason per post, and 20 words or less per reason.

When you feel like acting out, make sure to read all 90,000 reasons why you shouldn't first.

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less

Posted by Lizhensk - 30 Aug 2013 16:31

[Dov wrote:](#)

Just curious:

Is there anyone on this thread for whom these reasons really work?

None of them ever saved my behind from myself...

Anyone?

Dov, you have a knack for ruining great threads....

[Pidaini wrote:](#)

It's here to show us how powerless we are, that even though we have all these thousands of reasons, lust took over.

Spoken like a true Dov talmid

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less

Posted by RoshYeshivasSon - 30 Aug 2013 20:56

We will send you all 90,000 reasons guaranteed, shipped straight from Nigeria. We just need your bank account number and pin.

Thank You!

Mr. Sna Keoil

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less

Posted by Dov - 01 Sep 2013 10:37

RYS, are you planning a trip to Nigeria soon? Are we even allowed to say that country's name any more, or do we have say something like 'African-American-from-Africa-ia'? Just curious...

Pidaini is right - all these reasons are just *treetzim* for the basis on which the true addicts among us matir continued fighting on our own even though it is a losing battle (ask someone

Hey, if the thread is 'ruined', there is at least the point that Inny made about the LOTR thread still being here...

=====

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by RoshYeshivasSon - 01 Sep 2013 15:26

Dov:

African-American is out. In fact, Africa is out. We now refer either to "South of Europe" or "North of Antarctica" or "Kidnap Capital."

Farshtei?

Nein?

Az freg ah Yiddishea alte bobbe.

Actually "bobbe" is also out, now we say "Slightly less young individual."

(Genug mit dee narishkeit)

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by tehillimzugger - 01 Sep 2013 17:39

[Dov wrote:](#)

just *treetzim*

Hey I speak Yiddish just not sure of the meaning of that word, anyone can clarify?

The only possible meaning I have for it is: An Israeli pluralization of "Treat"; like the Israeli plural

=====

====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by RoshYeshivasSon - 01 Sep 2013 19:45

Supposed to say Terutzim: Answers/excuses.

Genug mit dee narishkeit tzum tzvietin mul.

=====

====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by Dov - 01 Sep 2013 23:35

Gevalt, have you no poilish or unGAReesh roots at all? The vord iz pronouinces lak deez:

[Treetzim: Arboreal Aborigines]
treetzim

No vunder yoo looking at de shiksess. Zom rishdiger yiddisheh chinuch yoo iz needing here.

=====

====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by avreml - 02 Sep 2013 00:31

I don't know if this is the place at all, but since shiktzes were mentioned; is it worse or better to look at them more than Jewish women? I know the answer is that one should not look at either, and they are both scorned upon, and they will both lead nowhere good, but is one more chamur

than the other?

I also know that the answer to this question has no bearing whatsoever on recovery, for those of us, myself included, need to be on guard from both; it makes no difference; I simply am asking mitoch curiosity.

Thanks

=====
=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by RoshYeshivasSon - 02 Sep 2013 00:33

???

=====
=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by inastruggle - 02 Sep 2013 00:38

If I were you, I wouldn't try to get that answered.Cause then when you watch porn you can always say "at least it's not a yid/goy".

=====
=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by Dov - 02 Sep 2013 01:34

Please 'halt kop git' for this, Avreml:

It's preferable to daven for a Jewish woman than for a Goyishe woman. Even though according to Chaza"l and sifrei kodesh Hashem loves **all** the bru'im in the entire world (including shikshas dressed with pritzus) and R' Shlomo of Karlin used to say '*Halevai I should have as much love for the tzaddik hador as Hashem has for the worst rosho*' - still, it is best to daven for a fellow Jewess, if we can.

Right?

So, I guess you are better off drooling at the *Jewish* women than at the goyisheh women. For I trust that you will do whatever it takes to stop ruining your mind and heart with the **emunah sheleimah** we droolers have that b'emess these women are primarily sexual objects for the sweet enjoyment of men like you and me.

Correct or not? You *are* willing to do *whatever* it takes to outgrow the tendency we have to want to stare at these women, I am sure.

And that means to daven me'umkah delibah (as I and many others do) for Hashem to *please, please give them whatever they need, to protect them from being used by the eyes and imaginations of men like you and me when we lust for them, and for long lives of health, protection from pain, nachas from their future children, a real and sweet relationship with Hashem, and all the good things you wish for your own daughter or mother.*

So...would you rather daven for goyishe women to have those things, or for Jewish women to have them?

Yes, I know that all tzaddikim wished well on every bird, tree, goy and shiksah out there, of course. (And we yidden praise Hashem for being good to them every time we say bentching: "Hu nosein lechem *l'chol bosor*" and "umasbiyah *l'chol chai ratzon*") What kind of mushchas wishes ill on anybody except people who are hurting others intentionally? Not us, surely. As R' Tzvi-Meyer often says, Leiv Tov is so important - the most important per the Tanna, right? It's actually *normal*.

And I know that often it is davka we weaker men (who find these pretty women's images so very sweet) who want to **blame them** and call **them** 'disgusting' - "michsholim!" ...but aren't we the ones looking at them, desiring them, and sometimes even worshipping them just a little? OK, maybe not so little. But when we think about it a bit we realize that 99% of them would freak out if they saw us staring at them with our beards wagging and mouths open, drooling or smiling. Right? They would be disgusted and feel abused being started at.

The emess is that the pritzusdige women out there do *not* want to be followed by you even desired by you - they just want to enjoy feeling pretty as a woman can. Female power isd fun for them, that's all. And is it EVIL that they do not care about you and your holy madreigos. It's just not shayach to them. They do not even *think* of such things. And if you or I would have been born a girl in her family you or I would probably be the same way (or maybe even *worse*...if you are a porn-lover like me, after all!)

But I prefer not to suffer, so I do not stare at women today at all, be"H. And it is not because I am *holy*, but because I am *sick*. Like many of us, here. We are brothers in this, chaver!

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by avreml - 02 Sep 2013 07:38

Thank you for your post. My apologies for what is called here, "hijacking the thread."

you did say I should concentrate well, which I did several times.

I got a lot out of your post, but I'm not sure it answered the question.

That's no big deal, for the question was really unimportant.

but just to see if I chapped basically what you said, I will rewrite in short.

1. We want to stop this looking behavior and therefore will do whatever we can to reach that result.

2. That means to daven that the women should not be machshil us, or used as objects of desire. You then said that we are mispallel for them with gevaldike brachos.

I am talking out loud here. These women, let us say Jewish ones...are they dressing appropriately or not? If yes, and we are still staring, are they doing anything wrong? Are they being machshil us? If they are not dressed appropriately, so we are davening that they should be protected from being used!? Doesn't that have to do with Gemora and Maharsha in brachos regarding davening that someone else shouldn't sin?

Additionally, why are we davening that they should live long? I have nothing against others living long, but why davka them? Is that part of our tefillah?

you might be right in this whole thing; it's simply new to me the entire concept.

The end of the post I basically got.

3. Even based on what you are saying that we should daven for the Jewish woman that she shouldn't be used by us; ultimately, it doesn't answer the question as to which is worse for us.

Please, if I'm nudging, don't bother answering; I am fine. I know that looking is wrong and I am making headway in this area. I am not klerring chakiros if they are Jewish or not; it simply makes no difference to my desires or my kevishah. Am I davening for them? No. But whatever, go on and answer more important questions than these.

And I like your ending as to why not to look at women bichlal.

thanks so much.

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by moish u.k. - 02 Sep 2013 16:31

You're doing great Dov. We're finally moving closer to 90,000.

Thats what you wanted!

Right?

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by LeHavote Aish - 31 Oct 2013 22:51

The real question is why yes act on it?

That is where the gold lies,

That is why no reasoning in the world will save anyone,

There is a reason for my signature.

=====

Re: 90,000 Reasons To Say NO to acting out.... in 20 words or less
Posted by LeHavote Aish - 31 Oct 2013 23:03

ChaimCharlie Wrote:

What about some reasons to act out?

That is actually interesting, we should come up with 90,000 reasons why to YES act out on it and then when someone comes to debate they'll decide, pros and cons....

=====

=====