E???? and k9
Posted by shemirateinayim - 16 Mar 2011 22:03

_____

I have Enativ filtering, and sadly they have left gaping holes in my filtering. I spoke to them on the phone and they claim to have already ammased a gigantic amount of "catchphrases" that would be recognised by their on-site filtering (within the sites they permit you). Sadly their lists may not include english.

So I installed k9 !!! yay-me.   But they don't work so well together. So for the meantime I have the admin password... kinda pointless no?

I need to use email, and k9 isn't able to connect to their "bluecollerblabla" website, and allow me on my email. Can anyone explain why this might me? do they filter also the content on the emails I receive? Can i simply allow all email content on a specific page? I already entered gmail into the list of site exceptions.

Anyone here have both k9 and Enativ?

===

Re: E???? and k9
Posted by ben durdayah - 16 Mar 2011 22:29

_____

I had the same problem with Etrog and K-9.

See my posts from yesterday, describing how my last two falls were results of these loopholes, and how with two simple steps I plugged them up for myself -and hopefully others!

About thing two, usually the K-9 "Can't connect" is an extremely temporary ordeal. But I don't seem to have a problem with this vis a vis e-mail, since I run through my e-mail through Outlook.

Hatzlacha,

E

==
====

Re: E???? and k9
Posted by shemirateinayim - 25 Mar 2011 11:55
_____

enativ told me they won"t ever work together

==
====

Re: E???? and k9
Posted by oblum - 27 Mar 2011 22:06
_____

Locks are meant for honest people...

There is no foolproof filtering system! Every system has its holes, and even those that are hard
to exploit, are easily bypassed (sorry to burst anyones bubble...). The only thing that can help
is... you. Be strong, keep on fighting, and dont give up! Daven a lot, too...

==
====

Re: E???? and k9
Posted by shemirateinayim - 01 May 2011 08:51
_____

Does anyone know if WebChaver will work on E????????

I need a monitoring software ASAP.... i keep 'finding' the loopholes in their program,

We need a GYE ???? on these filters, proving that we have found it "virtually foolproof". They got more haskamos than they deserve

==
====